



#### United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,395	10/28/1999	ADAM MICHAEL FENNE	17954-22	4594
7	590 10/03/2002			
Joel D Voelzke Howrey Simon Arnold & White LLP 301 Ravenswood Avenue Box No 34 Menlo Park, CA 94025			EXAMINER	
		BRITTON, HOV	OWARD W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2613 DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

### Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/428,395

Applicant(s)

A. M. Fenne

Examiner

Howard W. Britton

Art Unit 2613



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	·			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>THREE</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, how mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>	vever, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory mi</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communic earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is not	n-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u>	is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera			
5) 💢 Claim(s) _9-13	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) X The drawing(s) filed on Oct 28, 1999 is/are a)	accepted or b)X objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	· ·			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:				
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been red	eived.			
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents to application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru	ıle 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional applicati				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority unc	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)  1) ∑Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interest Cited (PTO-892)	TO AND Description			
	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0, 50	<del></del>			

Page 2

Art Unit: 2613

- 1. New formal drawings are required in this application because of reasons stated by the official draftsperson on form PTO-948, enclosed. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings.
- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2613

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 09/351,618. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the allowed application and is covered by the patent issuing on the allowed application since the allowed application and this application are claiming common subject.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. Since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the allowed application, and could lead to possibilities of harassment due to multiple licensing or assignments and differing questions of file wrapper estoppel.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

GOLDSMITH 2,343,971
BRIDGES 3,013,114
NAKAMURA 4,878,113

NAIMPALLY

- 5. Claims 1-13 are allowable over the art of record.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard W. Britton whose telephone number is (703) 305-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 3:30.

5,294,974.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2613

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley, can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group Customer Service Representative, whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

#### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

September 30, 2002 hwb

PRIMARY EXAMINER

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

#### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

## 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

#### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.